Rechargeability of manganese dioxide/zinc cell using zinc sulfate electrolyte

M.H. Askar, H. Abbas and S.E. Afifi* National Research Centre, Dokki, Cairo (Egypt)

(Received July 6, 1993; accepted in revised form September 14, 1993)

Abstract

The charge/discharge of electrolytic γ -MnO₂ (EMD) in sulfate solution rather than chloride was investigated. Discharge potential-time curves at different conditions of current density, charging schemes and solutions were registered. The charge/discharge cycle can be repeated up to the 20th cycle with no significant change in the discharge behaviour. The charge/ discharge products are characterized by X-ray diffraction pattern indicating recovery of γ -MnO₂ during charging process. The results are promising and encouraging. They are discussed in the light of recent views.

Introduction

Recharging of Leclanché-type cells has not been successful [1] primarily because the occurrence of irreversible reactions in the manganese dioxide/ammonium chloride/ zinc system presented problems that could not be solved.

In the early 1950s efforts were commenced to make primary alkaline cells rechargeable [2–13], and to overcome the limitation of the discharge of MnO_2 to its first electron only; as it is known [14–16] that Mn(II) is not rechargeable under battery conditions, less attention has been focused on rechargeability of MnO_2 cells in acid and neutral media.

The present work concerns the charge/discharge of MnO_2 in zinc sulfate solutions as acid medium. Some publications have appeared recently on this subject [17, 18]. It is one of a series on rechargeable zinc/manganese dioxide cells that are taking over recently, and are commercially produced on a large scale.

Experimental

The MnO₂ under investigation was of γ -type prepared electrolytically as previously described [19], and identified by X-ray diffraction (XRD). The cathode mixture consisted of γ -MnO₂ with acetylene black (20%). The anode was made of a pure-zinc sheet (99.99%). The electrolyte was 1 M zinc sulfate or zinc chloride of analytical grade. The cell used was described elsewhere [20] and all measurements were carried out at room temperature.

*Central Metallurgical Research and Development Institute, Tabbin, Cairo, Egypt.

The cell was discharged at 15 mA/0.5 g cathodic mixture until the cutoff voltage of 0 V versus saturated calomel electrode (SCE). Recharging of the cell at different constant currents (0.5-20 mA/electrode) for varying periods of time (1-60 h) was repeated for several cycles. The products removed from the test cell were washed with distilled water, dried at 80 $^{\circ}$ C and characterized by XRD.

Results and discussions

Figure 1 shows the discharge potential-time (E-t) curves for γ -electrolytic MnO₂ at constant current of 15 mA/0.5 g cathodic mixture in 1 M ZnSO₄ and 1 M ZnCl₂, respectively. The discharge continued to 0 V versus SCE, corresponding to a cell voltage of 1 V. The discharge capacity of the cell in sulfate solution was 89.5% of that of the capacity in ZnCl₂ solution as calculated from the discharge time.

The slope of the discharge curve in $ZnSO_4$ solution is typical for Leclanché cell ($ZnCl_2$ electrolyte) as the reduction of MnO_2 is characterized by a homogeneous phase reaction.

Charge/discharge cycling

Figure 2 shows the repeated charge/discharge behaviour of γ -MnO₂ in 1 M ZnCl₂. The cell was first discharged at 15 mA/0.5 g cathodic mixture (first cycle). It was then recharged at 2 mA/electrode for 20 h and subsequently discharged at 10 mA/0.5 g electrolyte (second cycle). A similar charge and discharge procedure was carried out (third cycle). It is clear from the Figure that a progressive decrease of cell voltage is observed at any value of discharge time, especially towards the end of discharge. The time of discharge also steadily decreased. The coulombic efficiency at the third cycle was 72.5% of that of the first cycle. This finding shows the quick failure of a γ -MnO₂/ ZnCl₂/Zn cell, if it is cycled.

On the other hand, a sample of γ -MnO₂ was first discharged at 15 mA/0.5 g cathodic mixture then left to recuperate overnight. It was charged at 20 mA/0.5 g



Fig. 1. Discharge curves of γ -MnO₂ at 15 mA/0.5 g: (a) 1 M ZnCl₂, and (b) 1 M ZnSO₄.



Fig. 2. Charge/discharge cycle of γ -MnO₂ at 15 mA/0.5 g in 1 M ZnCl₂.



Fig. 3. Charge/discharge cycle of γ -MnO₂ at 15 mA/0.5 g in 1 M ZnSO₄.

cathodic mixture for 1 h, then discharged at 15 mA/0.5 g cathodic mixture. The cycle of recuperation charging and discharging was repeated for more than 20 cycles. The results are shown in Fig. 3. This Figure reveals a progressive increment of the 'starting' potential and cell voltage of discharge times up to 100 min after which low values of cell voltage were recorded. No significant change in coulombic efficiency was observed.

 MnO_2 is reduced in a homogeneous phase reaction [21] and its potential decreases gradually as the discharge proceeds. The electrode potential is determined by the distribution of Mn(III) and Mn(IV), whereby the ratio of the two Mn species determines the state of reduction and the shape of the discharge curve: There is a general consensus [21, 22] that the cathodic reduction of MnO_2 proceeds via a solid-solution reaction involving injection of protons into the MnO_2 crystal lattice.

Reversible reduction occurs from MnO_2 to about $MnO_{1.7}$ [23], after which, further reduction results in irreversible changes in the structure and composition. The previous results in $ZnCl_2$ and $ZnSO_4$ are strictly confined to the region of the one-electron discharge of MnO_2 , yet a large difference is observed between the two media. This behaviour, the possibility of repeated charge/discharge of γ -MnO₂, may well be attributed to the sulfate ion [18]. It was confirmed that the oxidation/reduction of Mn^{2+}/Mn^{4+} showed good reversibility in 1 N H₂SO₄ but not in 1 N HCl solution.

To check the phases that may be formed, the XRD of the reference electrolytic γ -MnO₂ was registered (curve a, Fig. 4). A discharged sample, as usual, was also checked by XRD (curve b). Additional lines appeared that may be ascribed to the formation of MnOOH during the reduction of γ -MnO₂.

Other samples were charged even for 20 h; curve c did not show a clear XRD of degenerated γ -MnO₂ may be due to incomplete oxidation. Hence, another sample was discharged as usual, then slowly recharged at 2 mA/0.5 g cathodic mixture for 60 h; the XRD is revealed by curve d, Fig. 4, which was very encouraging as it was



Fig. 4. X-Ray diffraction patterns of charged/discharged samples. (a) reference sample (γ -MnO₂); (b) reference sample after discharge; (c) sample (b) at 2 mA/electrode for 20 h, and (d) sample (b) at 2 mA/electrode for 60 h.

close to the XRD of the reference sample (curve a). The lines were slightly shifted from those of the reference sample. This fact may be attributed to the fact that this electrochemical conversion of the discharged phases back to γ -MnO₂ in the cell has entirely different electrochemical reaction conditions as compared with the originally produced electrolytic reference γ -MnO₂.

Effect of charging current on the discharge capacity

Three samples of γ -MnO₂ were discharged at 15 mA/0.5 g cathodic mixture to the cutoff voltage (0 V versus SCE), then recharged for 20 h at 0.5, 1 and 1.3 mA/0.5 g cathodic mixture, respectively. Each sample was discharged at 15 mA/0.5 g cathodic mixture. The results are illustrated in Fig. 5 and given in Table 1.



Fig. 5. Effect of charging current on the discharged samples: (a) reference sample; (b) charged at 0.5 mA/0.5 g cathodic mixture; (c) charged at 1 mA/0.5 g cathodic mixture, and (d) charged at 1.3 mA/0.5 g cathodic mixture.

TABLE 1

Effect of charging c	current on	discharge	capacity
----------------------	------------	-----------	----------

No.	Charging current (mA/electrode)	Open-circuit potential (V)	Discharge time (min)	Coulombic efficiency compared with reference (%)
1	reference sample	600	165	
2	0.5	575	105	63.6
3	1.0	850	168	101.8
4	1.3	1100	205	124.2



Fig. 6. Shallow charge/discharge cycles of γ -MnO₂ at 15 mA/0.5 g mix in 1 M ZnSO₄.

It was noticed that when the charging current was 0.5 mA/0.5 g cathodic mixture, the open-circuit potential and the discharge time were less than the reference sample. The coulombic efficiency was only 63.6% compared with the reference sample. This clearly denotes an incomplete charging of the sample. But as the charging current was > 1 mA/0.5 g cathodic mixture, the open-circuit potential was progressively increased, and higher potential values were acquired throughout the discharge as seen in Fig. 5. The coulombic efficiencies exceeded 100% compared with the reference sample as given in Table 1.

Shallow cycling of a $MnO_2/1$ M ZnSO₄/Zn cell was also investigated. The cell was discharged for 15 min at 15 mA/0.5 g cathodic mixture, then recharged for another 15 min at the same current and so on. This cycle was repeated more than 10 times. Figure 6 shows the curve obtained, the cell showed a good behaviour. No tendency of cell failure was noticed, yet a slight gradual decrease in the values of potential recorded just before the beginning of discharge was observed. Shallow cycling of a $MnO_2/2$ M (NH₄)₂SO₄/Zn cell showed rechargeability [18], but the zinc anode deteriorated quickly.

The foregoing results suggested the possibility of producing a rechargeable γ -MnO₂/ZnSO₄/Zn secondary cell. This phenomenon is related mainly to the sulfate ion, as electrolytic γ -MnO₂ is most effectively produced in aqueous sulfate-containing media [24].

This work will hopefully be extended to confirm all aspects of the subject, e.g., complete discharge of the cell, recharging, and careful analysis of formed phases. The postulation of possible mechanisms of the reaction that may take place will also be considered.

References

- 1 K.V. Kordesch, US Patent No. 3 288 642 (1966).
- 2 L.F. Urry, US Patent No. 3 024 1297 (1962).
- 3 H.Y. Kang and C.C. Liang, Electrochim. Acta, 13 (1968) 277.
- 4 D. Boden, C.J. Venuto, D. Wisler and R.B. Wylie, J. Electrochem. Soc., 115 (1968) 333.

- 5 K.V. Kordesch and A. Kozawa, US Patent No. 3 945 847 (1976).
- 6 K.V. Kordesch, US Patent No. 4 091 178 (1978).
- 7 K.V. Kordesch and J. Gsellmann, 11th Power Source Symp., 1978.
- 8 K. Kordesch, J. Gsellmann and J. Tompson, *Power Sources*, 7, Academic Press, London, 1979.
- 9 R. Chenelli, J. Gsellmann, G. Korbler and K. Kordesh, Ext. Abstr., 2nd Int. Symp. Manganese Dioxide, 1980, The Electrochemical Society, Japan, p. 57.
- 10 K. Kordesch, J. Gsellmann, R. Chenelli, M. Peri and K. Tomantschger, *Electrochim. Acta*, 26 (1981) 1495.
- 11 K. Kordesh, J. Gsellmann, US Patent No. 4 384 029 (1983).
- 12 K. Kordesch, J.D. Ivad, E. Kahraman and R. Mussing, 26th Intersociety Energy Conversion Engineering Conf., Boston, MA, USA, Aug. 4-9, 1991.
- 13 K. Kordesch and J.D. Ivad, Meet. Progress in Batteries and Battery Materials, IBA, Sydney, Australia, Vol. 11, 1992.
- 14 S. Fletcher, J. Galea, J.A. Hamilton, T. Tran and R. Woods, J. Electrochem. Soc., 133 (1986) 1277.
- 15 F.Y. Yung, N. Gupta and H.S. Worblowa, J. Electroanal. Chem., 223 (1987) 107.
- 16 H.S. Wroblowa and N. Gupta, J. Electrochem. Soc., 238 (1987) 93.
- 17 T. Yamamoto and T. Shoji, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 117 (1986) 27.
- 18 T. Shoji, M. Hishinuma and T. Yamamoto, J. Appl. Electrochem., 18 (1988) 521.
- 19 T. Kusuhiko, Electrochim. Acta, 26 (1981) 1467.
- 20 A.A. Abdul Azim, G.A. Kolta and M.H. Askar, Electrochim. Acta, 17 (1972) 291.
- 21 G.S. Bell and R. Hubber, J. Electrochem. Soc., 111 (1964) 1.
- 22 A. Kozawa and J.F. Yeager, J. Electrochem. Soc., 112 (1965) 959.
- 23 H.Y. Kaing and C.C. Liang, J. Electrochem. Soc., 115 (1968) 6.
- 24 G.W. Nichols, Trans. Electrochem. Soc., 57 (1932) 393.